

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/470,580	12/22/1999	STEVEN B. SOLOMON	067251.0104	6336	
7590 10/22/2004			EXAM	INER	
BAKER & BOTTS LLP			MYHRE, JAMES W		
2001 ROSS AV DALLAS, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2.122.10, 111	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		3622		
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_					
	/		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
0	Λ	Advisory Action	09/470,580	SOLOMON ET AL.	
	1		Examiner	Art Unit	
			James W Myhre	3622	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

final i	rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued nination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) [b) [The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have be 37 CFF (b) abo	Attensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee even filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under R 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in we, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(0	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.🛛	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🖂	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: 1,3,4,6-11,13,14,16-31 and 33-38.
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other: James W. Myhre Primary Examiner October 15, 2004

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/470,580

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Applicant argues that the references cannot be combined to show a rebate system (as in Small) which maintains and displays the status of the rebate request to the customer. The Examiner notes that all three references disclose similar systems in which the customer submits a request through the merchant to receive a rebate, cash back points, redeem a redemption voucher, etc. Similar steps are disclosed to process this request, with Walker and Finsterwald also including maintaining and displays the status of the request to the customer. The Applicant's argument in reference to the "Official Notice" or common knowledge section of the rejection did not dispute the well known examples given in the rejection and is, therefore, not considered to traverse the well known features.